

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	1	
 10/528,179	03/17/2005		Yuji Yoshimura	268119US0PCT	6504	_	
22850	7590	12/06/2006	,	EXAM	1INER		
C. IRVIN M	ICCLEL	LAND		SEAMAN, D MARGARET M			
OBLON, SPI	IVAK, M	CCLELLAND, MAI	ER & NEUSTADT, P.C.		γ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7	
1940 DUKE STREET				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ALEXANDE	PIA VA	22314	•	1625			

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/528,179	YOSHIMURA ET AL.	YOSHIMURA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
D. Margaret Seaman	1625		

	Janot Goathan 1900										
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on	he cover sheet with the correspondence address										
THE REPLY FILED 15 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLI	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.										
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the san this application, applicant must timely file one of the following rep places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 time periods:	ies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which ppeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	(3)									
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of											
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONL' TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.										
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension in have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL											
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance we filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Si	e of nce									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerate (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);											
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or		r									
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondence NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	onding number of finally rejected claims.										
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	•									
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):											
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).											
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will whow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	not be entered, or b) □ will be entered and an explanation of ellow or appended.	σ									
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7.											
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>8</u> . <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u>											
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficional was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ent reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary	i and									
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and w	e <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide	а									
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	status of the claims after entry is below or attached.										
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does in See Continuation Sheet.		e:									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/Statement)	3/08) Paper No(s)										
13. Other:	D. Margaret Seaman Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1625										

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the prior art relied upon uses silica gel (with something on it) for the seperation of compounds. The instant claims do not state that the silica gel used must be "uncoated silica gel". Due to this, the rejection is upheld..